



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

June 29, 2004

Ms. Lillian Guillen Graham
Assistant City Attorney
City of Mesquite
P. O. Box 850137
Mesquite, Texas 75185-0137

OR2004-5297

Dear Ms. Graham:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 204656.

The Mesquite Police Department (the "department") received a request for all records regarding a specified address over a certain time period. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.103, 552.108, and 552.130 of the Government Code.¹ We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses information made confidential by other statutes. Section 261.201 of the Family Code reads in part as follows:

(a) The following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under Chapter 552, Government Code, and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:

¹ Although you raise section 552.103 and 552.108 of the Government Code, you have failed to submit any comments stating the reasons why these exceptions are applicable to the submitted information. Therefore, we find that the department has waived section 552.103 and 552.108. See Gov't Code §§ 552.301, .302; Open Records Decision No. 663 at 5 (1999).

- (1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under this chapter and the identity of the person making the report; and
- (2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under this chapter or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

We conclude that a portion of the submitted information consists of reports, records, and working papers used or developed in investigations made under chapter 261 of the Family Code. Because you have not cited any specific rule that the department has adopted with regard to the release of this type of information, we assume that no such regulation exists. Given that assumption, this information is confidential pursuant to section 261.201 of the Family Code. *See* Open Records Decision No. 440 at 2 (1986) (construing predecessor statute). Accordingly, the department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

You next contend that a portion of the submitted information is confidential under sections 771.061 and 772.118 of the Health and Safety Code. Section 771.061(a) of the Health and Safety Code makes confidential certain information that telephone companies and the United States Postal Service furnish a governmental entity that provides computerized 9-1-1 emergency services. *See generally* Open Records Decision No. 661 (1999). On the other hand, sections 772.118, 772.218, and 772.318 of the Health and Safety Code make confidential the originating telephone numbers and addresses of 9-1-1 callers furnished by a 9-1-1 service supplier. *See* Open Records Decision No. 649 (1996). Based on your representation that the City of Mesquite is part of an emergency communication district that was established under section 772.118, we determine that the department must withhold all such telephone numbers and addresses in the remaining submitted information pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the common law right of privacy, which protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). The types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. In addition, this office has found that the following types of information are excepted from required public disclosure under common law privacy: an individual's criminal history when compiled by a governmental body, *see* Open Records Decision No. 565 (citing *United States Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press*, 489 U.S. 749 (1989)); personal financial information not relating to a financial

transaction between an individual and a governmental body, *see* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990); some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses, *see* Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps); and identities of victims of sexual abuse, *see* Open Records Decision Nos. 440 (1986), 393 (1983), 339 (1982). Having reviewed the remaining submitted information, we have marked the information that is protected by common law privacy and must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We note that one of the individuals to whom the information pertains is deceased. The common law right to privacy is a personal right that lapses at death, and therefore common law privacy does not encompass information that relates to a deceased individual. *See Moore v. Charles B. Pierce Film Enters., Inc.*, 589 S.W.2d 489, 491 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 1979, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 272 at 1 (1981).

Additionally, social security numbers must be withheld in some circumstances under section 552.101 in conjunction with the 1990 amendments to the federal Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I). *See* Open Records Decision No. 622 (1994). These amendments make confidential social security numbers and related records that are obtained and maintained by a state agency or political subdivision of the state pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. *See id.* We note, however, that the laws making social security numbers and related records confidential are designed to protect an individual's privacy interests, and the privacy rights of an individual lapse upon death. *See Moore*, 589 S.W.2d at 491. Accordingly, the deceased individual's social security number may not be withheld under section 552.101.

Finally, you assert section 552.130 of the Government Code, which prohibits the release of information that relates to a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state or a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state or a personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or authorized local agency. *See* Gov't Code § 552.130. This provision was enacted to protect the privacy of an individual, and therefore, the protection extinguishes at the individual's death. *See Moore*, 589 S.W.2d at 491. Accordingly, we agree that the department must withhold most of the section 552.130 information you have marked. However, the department may not withhold the Texas driver's license information of the deceased individual on this basis, and it must be released.

In summary, we conclude that the department must withhold the following information under section 552.101 of the Government Code: 1) the information we have marked under section 261.201 of the Family Code; 2) the originating telephone numbers and addresses of 9-1-1 callers under 772.118 of the Health and Safety Code; and 3) the information we have marked under common law privacy.² Additionally, we conclude that the department must

²As our ruling on these issues is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument.

withhold the section 552.130 information of living individuals. All remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge

this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "W. Montgomery Meitler".

W. Montgomery Meitler
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

WMM/krl

Ref: ID# 204656

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Pete Rosati
5910 N. Central Expwy., Suite 1380
Dallas, Texas 75206
(w/o enclosures)